



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,883	09/16/2003	Aleksey Sanin	AOL0073	8888

7590 GLENN PATENT GROUP 3475 Edison Way Suite L Menlo Park, CA 94025		10/29/2007	
--	--	------------	--

EXAMINER	
CAO, PHUONG THAO	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2164	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/29/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/666,883

Applicant(s)

SANIN, ALEKSEY

Examiner

Phuong-Thao Cao

Art Unit

2164

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-10, 12-17, 19-23, 25 and 26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-10, 12-17, 19-23, 25 and 26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to Amendment filed on 8/24/2007.
2. Claims 1, 8, 14 and 21 have been amended, and claims 5, 11, 18 and 24 have been cancelled. Currently, claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-17, 19-23, 25 and 26 are pending.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/24/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's argument that Call does not teach or suggest the "verifying said resource information request before returning the retrieved resource information", Examiner disagrees. Call teaches in column 6, lines 50-55, a feature of using some protection schemes to provide access to data only to authorized requesters. Basically, when a requester wants to access data (e.g., resource information), it needs to send a request (e.g., a message generated based on some input and including some sender (requester) information and receiver information). The disclosure of providing access to data only to authorized requesters indicates that the request must be verified to determine if the requester is authorized before allowing requester to access data (i.e., returning data to requester).

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-26 (effective filing date 9/16/2003) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Call (US Patent No 6,154,738 issued on 11/28/2000).

As to claim 1, Call teaches:

“A process for using an association between a service provider resource and a fixed identifier to allows resource requestors to consistently access a service provider resource without being affected by changes to the service provider resource” (see [column 1, lines 53-67] wherein universal product code identifying a specific product and used to locate information about the product in a manufacturer’s system (service provider) can be considered as a fixed identifier associated with product and information about the product; product information or a web page or file containing product information can be all considered as Applicant’s “service provider resource”; and the association between the universal product code (ID) and the product information (resource) allows to access resource by using the ID without being affected by changes to the resource (i.e., URL of the resource changed); also see [column 4, lines 1-15] and [column 15, lines 48-53]), the process comprising the steps of:

“a central server receiving a resource information request from a resource requestor concerning a particular resource” (see [column 2, lines 35-45], [column 4, lines 10-15 and 30-42] and [column 5, lines 30-45] wherein the server computer implementing the product code translator [column 5, lines 35-45] is equivalent to Applicant’s “central server”);

“extracting a fixed service provider resource identifier from said resource information request, said service provider resource identifier having a one to one correspondence with an associated service provider resource” (see [column 2, lines 35-45] wherein a file containing product information located by an internet address is equivalent to Applicant’s “service provider resource”, universal product code identifying a product also used to identify the file containing information about the product is equivalent to Applicant’s “service provider resource identifier”, and the product code or identifier must be extracted from the request message or query as illustrated in Applicant’s claim language to perform a lookup operation as disclosed; also see [column 1, lines 53-60], [column 9, lines 63-65] and [column 17, lines 20-25] for a one to one correspondence between an identifier and information resource);

“providing a source information database resident on said central server that contains cross references from service provider resource identifiers to service provider resource information, said database containing resource information for all service providers within the central server’s area of responsibility, said resource information for each resource comprising at least a universal resource locator (URL) and substantive descriptive information concerning the resource, said substantive descriptive information comprising a description of the resource” (see [column 2, lines 35-55], [column 4, lines 30-42], [column 5, lines 42-55], [column 6, lines 48-67] and Fig. 2 wherein the server computer implementing the product code translator [column 5,

lines 40-45] is equivalent to Applicant's "central server", and its relational database including information for all manufacturer systems (service providers) is equivalent to a source information database as illustrated in Applicant's claim language; also see [column 6, lines 55-67] and [column 33, lines 1-10] wherein URL and other cross referencing information is equivalent to Applicant's "resource information"; also see [column 9, lines 43-45] and [column 12, lines 1-15] for cross-referencing URLs, product code (ISBN number for books) and book (product) information wherein book information presents the content of product information which is an content description of the product information itself); and

"said central server accessing said database using said extracted service provider resource identifier and retrieving service provider resource information comprising at least a universal resource locator (URL) and substantive descriptive information concerning the resource and comprising a description of the resource from said database" (see [column 2, lines 35-43], [column 9, lines 17-21] and [column 10, lines 48-60] wherein product description, i.e. book description, describes the product information (resource) of a manufacturer is interpreted as Applicant's "description of the resource");

"wherein said central server verifies said resource information request before returning the retrieved service provider resource information" (see [column 6, lines 15-55] for the disclosure of using certificate or digital signature to provide data access to authorized requesters).

As to claim 2, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said central server’s area of responsibility is locally, assignment or trust based”

(see [column 5, lines 42-55] and [column 25, lines 35-50]).

As to claim 3, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said service provider resource identifier is a universal resource identifier (URI)”

(see [column 21, lines 5-25 and 50-55] wherein domain name is equivalent to Applicant’s

“universal resource identifier”).

As to claim 4, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said central server returns the retrieved service provider resource information to said resource requestor” (see [column 2, lines 35-45], [column 4, lines 30-45] and [column 9, lines 15-25] wherein the server implementing the product code translator is equivalent to Applicant’s “central server”, requesting browser is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource requestor”, and information including internet addresses and other information concerning the universal product code are equivalent to Applicant’s “the retrieved service provider resource information”).

As to claim 6, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said resource requestor uses the URL from the retrieved service provider resource information to access the resource from the service provider” (see [column 2, lines 35-45], [column 4, lines 30-40] and [column 15, lines 47-65] wherein requesting browser is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource requestor”).

As to claim 7, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said resource requestor uses the retrieved said service provider resource information to display the resource description to a user” (see [column 23, lines 10-50], [column 25, lines 35-65] and [column 26, lines 1-20] wherein product description is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource description”; also see [column 9, lines 15-25] wherein information about the manufacturer is a resource description relating to the source of the file containing product information wherein the file containing product information is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource” ).

As to claim 8, Call teaches:

“A process for using an association between a service provider resource and a fixed identifier to allows resource requestors to consistently access a service provider resource without

Art Unit: 2164

being affected by changes to the service provider resource” (see [column 1, lines 53-67] wherein universal product code identifying a specific product and used to locate information about the product in a manufacturer’s system (service provider) can be considered as a fixed identifier associated with product and information about the product, and product information or a web page or file containing product information can be all considered as Applicant’s “service provider resource”, and the association between the universal product code (ID) and the product information (resource) allows to access resource by using the ID without being affected by changes to the resource (i.e., URL of the resource changed); also see [column 4, lines 1-15] and [column 15, lines 48-53]), the process comprising the steps of:

“a service provider site receiving a resource information request from a resource requestor concerning a service provider resource” (see [column 15, lines 27-55] wherein Web server is equivalent to Applicant’s “a service provider site”; also see [column 32, lines 37-40]);

“extracting a fixed service provider resource identifier from said resource information request, said service provider resource identifier having a one to one correspondence with said service provider resource” (see [column 2, lines 35-45] wherein a file containing product information located by an internet address is equivalent to Applicant’s “service provider resource”, universal product code identifying a product also used to identify the file containing information about the product is equivalent to Applicant’s “service provider resource identifier”, and the product code or identifier must be extracted from the request message or query as illustrated in Applicant’s claim language to perform a lookup operation as disclosed; also see [column 1, lines 53-60], [column 9, lines 63-65] and [column 17, lines 20-25] for a one to one correspondence between an identifier and information resource);

“providing a resource information database resident on said service provider site that contains cross references from service provider resource identifiers to information concerning associated resources of said service provider, said database resource information for each resource comprising at least a universal resource locator (URL) and substantive descriptive information concerning the resource, said substantive descriptive information comprising a description of the resource” (see [column 15, lines 25-53] wherein Web server is equivalent to Applicant’s “service provider site”, file-based database containing cross-referencing for all product codes to information (i.e. URL) to locate product information is equivalent to a resource information database as illustrated in Applicant’s claim language; also see [column 9, lines 43-45] and [column 12, lines 1-15] for cross-referencing URLs, product code (ISBN number for books) and book (product) information wherein book information presents the content of product information which is an content description of the product information itself); and

“said service provider site accessing said database using said extracted service provider resource identifier and retrieving associated service provider resource information comprising at least a universal resource locator (URL) and substantive descriptive information concerning the resource and comprising a description of the resource from said database” (see [column 2, lines 35-43], [column 9, lines 17-21] and [column 10, lines 48-60] wherein product description, i.e. book description, describes the product information (resource) of a manufacturer is interpreted as Applicant’s “description of the resource”);

“wherein said service provider site verifies said resource information request before returning the retrieved service provider resource information” (see [column 6, lines 15-55]

wherein the disclosure of using certificate or digital signature to provide data access to authorized requesters suggests Applicant's claim language).

As to claim 9, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 8 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said service provider resource identifier is a universal resource identifier (URI)” (see [column 21, lines 5-25 and 50-55] wherein domain name is equivalent to Applicant's “universal resource identifier”).

As to claim 10, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 8 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said server provider site returns the retrieved service provider resource information to said resource requestor” (see [column 15, lines 27-55] and [column 33, lines 1-5] wherein the Web server is equivalent to Applicant's “service provider site”, requesting browser is equivalent to Applicant's “resource requestor”, and information including internet addresses and other cross-referencing information are equivalent to Applicant's “the retrieved service provider resource information”).

As to claim 12, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 8 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Art Unit: 2164

Call teaches:

“wherein said resource requestor uses the URL from the retrieved service provider resource information to access the resource from the service provider” (see [column 2, lines 35-45], [column 4, lines 30-40] and [column 15, lines 47-65] wherein requesting browser is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource requestor”).

As to claim 13, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 8 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said resource requestor uses the retrieved said service provider resource information to display the resource description to a user” (see [column 23, lines 10-50], [column 25, lines 35-65] and [column 26, lines 1-20] wherein product description is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource description”; also see [column 9, lines 15-25] wherein information about the manufacturer is a resource description relating to the source of the file containing product information wherein the file containing product information is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource”).

As to claim 14, Call teaches:

“An apparatus for using an association between a service provider resource and a fixed identifier to allows resource requestors to consistently access a service provider resource without being affected by changes to the service provider resource” (see [column 1, lines 53-67] wherein universal product code identifying a specific product and used to locate information about the

Art Unit: 2164

product in a manufacturer's system (service provider) can be considered as a fixed identifier associated with product and information about the product, and product information or a web page or file containing product information can be all considered as Applicant's "service provider resource", and the association between the universal product code (ID) and the product information (resource) allows to access resource by using the ID without being affected by changes to the resource (i.e., URL of the resource changed); also see [column 4, lines 1-15] and [column 15, lines 48-53]), the apparatus comprising:

"a request reception module on a central server configured to receive a resource information request from a resource requestor concerning a service provider resource" (see [column 2, lines 35-45], [column 4, lines 10-15 and 30-42] and [column 5, lines 30-45] wherein the server computer implementing the product code translator [column 5, lines 40-45] is equivalent to Applicant's "central server", requesting browser is equivalent to Applicant's "resource requestor", and the disclose of receiving request or accepting query suggests the inclusion of a request reception module as illustrated in Applicant's claim language);

"an extraction module configured to extract a fixed service provider resource identifier from said resource information request, said service provider resource identifier having a one to one correspondence with said service provider resource" (see [column 2, lines 35-45] and [column 5, lines 30-40] wherein a file containing product information located by an internet address is equivalent to Applicant's "service provider resource", universal product code identifying a product also used to identify the file containing information about the product is equivalent to Applicant's "service provider resource identifier", and the product code or identifier must be extracted from the request message or query by an extraction module as

illustrated in Applicant's claim language to perform a lookup operation as disclosed; also see [column 1, lines 53-60] and [column 17, lines 20-25] for a one to one correspondence between an identifier and information resource);

“a source information database resident on said central server that contains cross references from service provider resource identifiers to service provider resource information, said database containing resource information for all service providers within the central server's area of responsibility, said resource information for each resource comprising at least a universal resource locator (URL) and substantive descriptive information concerning the resource, said substantive descriptive information comprising a description of the resource” (see [column 2, lines 35-55], [column 4, lines 30-42], [column 5, lines 42-55], [column 6, lines 48-67] and Fig. 2 wherein the server computer implementing the product code translator [column 5, lines 40-45] is equivalent to Applicant's “central server”, and its relational database including information for all manufacturer systems (service providers) is equivalent to a source information database as illustrated in Applicant's claim language; also see [column 6, lines 55-67] and [column 33, lines 1-10] wherein URL and other cross referencing information is equivalent to Applicant's “resource information”; also see [column 9, lines 43-45] and [column 12, lines 1-15] for cross-referencing URLs, product code (ISBN number for books) and book (product) information wherein book information presents the content of product information which is an content description of the product information itself); and

“an accessing and retrieval module, configured to access said database using said extracted service provider resource identifier and to retrieve associated service provider resource information comprising at least a universal resource locator (URL) and substantive descriptive

Art Unit: 2164

information concerning the resource and comprising a description of the resource from said database” (see [column 2, lines 35-43], [column 9, lines 17-21] and [column 10, lines 48-60] wherein product description, i.e. book description, describes the product information (resource) of a manufacturer is interpreted as Applicant’s “description of the resource”);

“wherein said central server verifies said resource information request before returning the retrieved service provider resource information” (see [column 6, lines 15-55] wherein the disclosure of using certificate or digital signature to provide data access to authorized requesters suggests Applicant’s claim language).

As to claim 15, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 14 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said central server’s area of responsibility is locally, assignment or trust based” (see [column 5, lines 42-55] and [column 25, lines 35-50]).

As to claim 16, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 14 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said service provider resource identifier is a universal resource identifier (URI)” (see [column 21, lines 5-25 and 50-55] wherein domain name is equivalent to Applicant’s “universal resource identifier”).

As to claim 17, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 14 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said central server returns the retrieved service provider resource information to said resource requestor” (see [column 2, lines 35-45], [column 4, lines 30-45] and [column 9, lines 15-25] wherein the server implementing the product code translator is equivalent to Applicant’s “central server”, requesting browser is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource requestor”, and information including internet addresses and other information concerning the universal product code are equivalent to Applicant’s “the retrieved service provider resource information”).

As to claim 19, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 14 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said resource requestor uses the URL from the retrieved service provider resource information to access the resource from the service provider” (see [column 2, lines 35-45], [column 4, lines 30-40] and [column 15, lines 47-65] wherein requesting browser is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource requestor”).

As to claim 20, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 14 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said resource requestor uses the retrieved said service provider resource information to display the resource description to a user” (see [column 23, lines 10-50], [column 25, lines 35-65] and [column 26, lines 1-20] wherein product description is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource description”; also see [column 9, lines 15-25] wherein information about the manufacturer is a resource description relating to the source of the file containing product information wherein the file containing product information is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource” ).

As to claim 21, Call teaches:

“An apparatus for using an association between a service provider resource and a fixed identifier to allows resource requestors to consistently access a service provider resource without being affected by changes to the service provider resource” (see [column 1, lines 53-67] wherein universal product code identifying a specific product and used to locate information about the product in a manufacturer’s system (service provider) can be considered as a fixed identifier associated with product and information about the product, and product information or a web page or file containing product information can be all considered as Applicant’s “service provider resource”, and the association between the universal product code (ID) and the product information (resource) allows to access resource by using the ID without being affected by changes to the resource (i.e., URL of the resource changed) also see [column 4, lines 1-15] and [column 15, lines 48-53]), the apparatus comprising:

“a request reception module on a service provider site configured to receive a resource information request from a resource requestor concerning a service provider resource” (see

[column 15, lines 27-55] wherein Web server is equivalent to Applicant's "a service provider site" and the disclosure of processing HTTP message or request implies the receiving that HTTP request and must include a request reception module as illustrated in Applicant's claim language; also see [column 32, lines 37-40]);

"an extraction module configured to extract a fixed service provider resource identifier from said resource information request, said service provider resource identifier having a one to one correspondence with said service provider resource" (see [column 15, lines 25-55] wherein ISBN number is equivalent to Applicant's "service provider resource identifier", the disclosure of performing a table lookup operation suggest the extracting and the inclusion of an extraction module as illustrated in Applicant's claim language, and for each ISBN number (identifier) only one URL (resource) is retrieved as disclosed suggests the one to one correspondence as in Applicant's claim language; also see [column 1, lines 53-60] and [column 17, lines 20-25] for a one to one correspondence between an identifier and information resource);

"a resource information database resident on said service provider site that contains cross references from service provider resource identifiers to information concerning associated resources of said service provider, said database resource information for each resource comprising at least a universal resource locator (URL) and substantive descriptive information concerning the resource, said substantive descriptive information comprising a description of the resource" (see [column 2, lines 35-55], [column 4, lines 30-42], [column 5, lines 42-55], [column 6, lines 48-67] and Fig. 2 wherein the server computer implementing the product code translator [column 5, lines 40-45] is equivalent to Applicant's "central server", and its relational database including information for all manufacturer systems (service providers) is equivalent to a source

Art Unit: 2164

information database as illustrated in Applicant's claim language; also see [column 6, lines 55-67] and [column 33, lines 1-10] wherein URL and other cross referencing information is equivalent to Applicant's "resource information"; also see [column 9, lines 43-45] and [column 12, lines 1-15] for cross-referencing URLs, product code (ISBN number for books) and book (product) information wherein book information presents the content of product information which is an content description of the product information itself); and

"an accessing and retrieval module, configured to access said database using said extracted service provider resource identifier and to retrieve associated service provider resource information comprising at least a universal resource locator (URL) and substantive descriptive information concerning the resource and comprising a description of the resource from said database" (see [column 2, lines 35-43], [column 9, lines 17-21] and [column 10, lines 48-60] wherein product description, i.e. book description, describes the product information (resource) of a manufacturer is interpreted as Applicant's "description of the resource");

"wherein said service provider site verifies said resource information request before returning the retrieved service provider resource information" (see [column 6, lines 15-55] wherein the disclosure of using certificate or digital signature to provide data access to authorized requesters suggests Applicant's claim language).

As to claim 22, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 21 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said service provider resource identifier is a universal resource identifier (URI)”  
(see [column 21, lines 5-25 and 50-55] wherein domain name is equivalent to Applicant’s  
“universal resource identifier”).

As to claim 23, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim  
21 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said server provider site returns the retrieved service provider resource  
information to said resource requestor” (see [column 15, lines 27-55] and [column 33, lines 1-5]  
wherein the Web server is equivalent to Applicant’s “service provider site”, requesting browser  
is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource requestor”, and information including internet addresses  
and other cross-referencing information are equivalent to Applicant’s “the retrieved service  
provider resource information”).

As to claim 25, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim  
21 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said resource requestor uses the URL from the retrieved service provider  
resource information to access the resource from the service provider” (see [column 2, lines 35-  
45], [column 4, lines 30-40] and [column 15, lines 47-65] wherein requesting browser is  
equivalent to Applicant’s “resource requestor”).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 26, this claim is rejected based on arguments given above for rejected claim 21 and is similarly rejected including the following:

Call teaches:

“wherein said resource requestor uses the retrieved said service provider resource information to display the resource description to a user” (see [column 23, lines 10-50], [column 25, lines 35-65] and [column 26, lines 1-20] wherein product description is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource description”; also see [column 9, lines 15-25] wherein information about the manufacturer is a resource description relating to the source of the file containing product information wherein the file containing product information is equivalent to Applicant’s “resource”).

*Conclusion*

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong-Thao Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2735. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM (Mon - Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2164

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phuong-Thao Cao  
Art Unit 2164  
October 18, 2007

  
CHARLES RONES  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER